This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:
☐ BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
□ OTHER:

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/764,072	01/19/2001	Hisham S. Abdel-Ghaffar	2925-0502P	6788
30594 75	90 08/13/2004	EXAMINER		
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 8910			CONNOLLY, MARK A	
RESTON, VA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2115	
			DATE MAILED: 08/13/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/764,072	ABDEL-GHAFFAR, HISHAM S.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mark Connolly	2115
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cl after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed inty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	06 July 2004.	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal mat	tters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.[O. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application	ation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	hdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on 27 March 2001 is/a		iected to by the Examiner
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		• ,
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	raign priority under 25 LLS C	\$ 110(a) (d) a= (f)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	eigh phonty under 55 0.0.0.	g 119(a)-(a) of (i).
1. Certified copies of the priority document	nents have been received	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		Application No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		· ·
application from the International Bu		rreceived in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		received
ose the attached detailed office action for a	and of the certified copies flot	received.
ttachment(s)	🗖	
)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE	5) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	·
Patent and Trademark Office OL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	ce Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 08042004

1. Claims 1-11 have been presented for examination.

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 4. Claims 1-4, 7 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Premerlani US Pat No 5958060 as cited in the previous Office actions.
- 5. Referring to claim 1, Premerlani teaches the method of determining a time offset between a central node and a secondary node comprising:
 - a. receiving, at a central node, downlink and uplink timing information from a secondary node, the downlink and uplink timing information based on a periodic timing scale, the downlink timing information representing timing information for communication from the central node to the secondary node and the uplink information representing timing information for communication from the secondary node to the central node [col. 5 lines 51-62 and col. 6 lines 13-24]. Terminals 1 and 2 are interpreted as central and secondary nodes respectfully. The delay between the central node and secondary node is interpreted as downlink information and the delay between the secondary node and central node is interpreted as downlink information.

Art Unit: 2115

- b. converting the received downlink and uplink timing information to a continuous time scale [col. 6 lines 20-24]. Roll over occurs when a time scale is periodic and performing calculations to compensate for roll over is interpreted as converting from a periodic time scale to a continuous time scale.
- c. determining a time offset estimate between the central node and the secondary node based on the converted downlink and uplink timing information [col. 6 lines 13-24]. Round trip delay is interpreted as a time offset between the central and secondary nodes.
- 6. Referring to claims 2-4 and 7, Premerlani teaches using transmit and receive timestamps in order to calculate uplink and downlink information in order to determine the time offset between the two nodes [col. 5 lines 51-62 and col. 6 lines 13-24]. In particular, the Premerlani system begins with the central node recording a transmit timestamp Ti-3 and sending it to the secondary node. Upon reception, the secondary node records a receive timestamp Ti-2 and then saves timestamps Ti-3 and Ti-2 as timestamps Ti-1 and Ti respectfully. Next, the secondary node records a new transmit timestamp as Ti-2 and sends all timestamps back to the central node. Finally, the central node records a new receive timestamp as Ti-3 and calculates the uplink and downlink information, converting to compensate for any wrap around or roll over if necessary, in order to determine the time offset between the central and secondary node.
- 7. Referring to claim 11, Premerlani teaches a method of determining a time offset estimate between a central node and a secondary node, comprising:
 - a. receiving, at a central node, downlink and uplink timing information from a second node, the downlink and uplink timing information based on a periodic

timing scale, the downlink timing information representing timing information for communication from the central node to the secondary node and the uplink information representing timing information for communication from the

b. adjusting the received downlink and uplink timing information for time wraparound [col. 6 lines 20-24]. Roll over is interpreted as wraparound.

secondary node to the central node [col. 5 lines 51-62 and col. 6 lines 13-24].

c. determining a time offset estimate between the central node and the second node based on the adjusted downlink and uplink timing information [col. 6 lines 13-24].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 5-6, 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Premerlani as applied to claims 1-4, 7 and 11 above, and further in view of Thornberg et al [Thornberg] US Pat No 5757772 as cited in the previous Office actions.
- 11. Referring to claim 5, Premerlani does not explicitly teach calculating a plurality of uplink and downlink times. Thornberg teaches calculating a plurality of uplink and downlink delays in order to find an average uplink and downlink delay [col. 20 lines 15-22]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to realize the benefit measuring a plurality of uplink and downlink delays because as it is well known, delay

Application/Control Number: 09/764,072

Art Unit: 2115

times can vary between transmissions and by measuring multiple delays, a more accurate estimate of uplink and downlink delays can be obtained.

- 12. Referring to claim 6, Premerlani teaches determining a minimum round trip delay which would obviously derive from a minimum uplink and downlink delay [col. 5 lines 28-32].
- 13. Referring to claim 8, Thomberg teaches setting a timeout period to determine if data has been lost in transmission [col. 6 lines 2-5].
- 14. Referring to claim 10, Thornberg teaches a cellular communications system in which a mobile device communicated with a radio network controller [col. 3 line 64 col. 4 line 1, col. 3 lines 7-16 and 42-45].

Conclusion

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Connolly whose telephone number is (703) 305-7849. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8AM-5PM (except every first Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas C Lee can be reached on (703) 305-9717. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/764,072

Art Unit: 2115

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Connolly Examiner Art Unit 2115

mc

August 4, 2004

THOMAS LEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100